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on appeal from the court of appeal for quebec

 *Criminal law — Trial — Trial management — Direct indictment before judge at first instance setting out 29 counts involving more than 150 accused — Stay of proceedings ordered on certain counts because of unreasonable delays — Judge justified in exercising his discretion to protect rights of accused guaranteed by Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms and to further public’s interest in avoiding collapse of prosecution.*

**Statutes and Regulations Cited**

*Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms*.

 APPEAL from a judgment of the Quebec Court of Appeal (Doyon, Gagnon and Levesque JJ.A.), 2013 QCCA 671, [2013] R.J.Q. 608, 302 C.C.C. (3d) 365, [2013] Q.J. No. 3349 (QL), 2013 CarswellQue 10165, SOQUIJ AZ-50956084, affirming a decision of Brunton J., 2011 QCCS 2661, [2011] R.J.Q. 933, 86 C.R. (6th) 155, [2011] Q.J. No. 6103 (QL), 2011 CarswellQue 12285, SOQUIJ AZ-50757582. Appeal dismissed.

 Marc Cigana and Andrée Vézina, for the appellant.

 Louis Belleau, Christian Desrosiers, Lida Nouraie and Annie Lahaise, for the respondents.

 Jolaine Antonio, for the intervener.

 English version of the judgment of the Court delivered orally by

[1] The Chief Justice — We all agree, essentially for the reasons given by Doyon J.A. of the Quebec Court of Appeal, that this appeal should be dismissed. However, we would also like to stress the extraordinary and unique nature of the circumstances faced by Brunton J. of the Superior Court as a result of the proceedings brought against the accused by the Crown.

[2] The cumulative effects of those circumstances justified Brunton J.’s significant intervention in matters usually left to the discretion of the prosecution, namely the selection of the charges and the prioritization of the order in which they would proceed. The direct indictment before the judge at first instance set out 29 counts involving more than 150 accused. It also contained a number of counts that could not lawfully be included in it. This direct indictment, as preferred by the Crown, did not lend itself to a trial, and it gave rise to serious challenges with respect to disclosure of the evidence to the accused. Furthermore, the prosecution did not have a realistic plan for taking those charges to trial and conducting the trial within a reasonable time.

[3] As Doyon J.A. mentioned at paragraph 60 of his reasons, Brunton J. had observed that [translation] “the prosecution had been making things up as it went along and had shown a flagrant lack of preparation, analysis and foresight in managing the case” (2013 QCCA 671, [2013] R.J.Q. 608). The unique nature of these extraordinary circumstances satisfies us that the approach taken by the trial judge was the right one in this case. In light of these circumstances, and of the ones referred to by Brunton J. in his reasons and by the majority of the Court of Appeal in theirs, we agree with the latter that Brunton J. did not err in exercising his discretion in this case. He exercised it to protect the rights of the accused guaranteed by the *Charter*, and to further the public’s interest in avoiding the total collapse of the prosecution that could have been caused by unreasonable delays.

 *Judgment accordingly.*
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