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*Criminal law ― Evidence ― Assessment ― Accused convicted of aggravated sexual assault as a result of having transmitted HIV to complainant ― Trial judge finding that complainant would not have engaged in sexual relations had he known about accused’s HIV positive status ― Trial judge properly analyzed complainant’s credibility in light of evidence as a whole.*
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 The judgment of the Court was delivered orally by

1. Karakatsanis J. — This is an appeal as of right on a question of law. We agree with the majority of the Quebec Court of Appeal that it was open to the trial judge to conclude beyond a reasonable doubt that the complainant would not have engaged in sexual relations had he known about the appellant’s HIV positive status. The fact that the trial judge also found that it was possible that the sexual relationship continued after disclosure, despite the complainant’s denial, does not necessarily render that first finding unreasonable. While it would have been preferable for the trial judge to more fully explain his reasoning relating to the complainant’s credibility, we are not satisfied that the trial judge erred in the analytical process set out in *R. v. W. (D.)*, [1991] 1 S.C.R. 742, or that he failed to analyze the evidence as a whole relating to an ultimate issue. The appeal is dismissed.

 *Judgment accordingly.*
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