THE EUREKA WOOLLEN MILLS APPELLANTS; COMPANY, LIMITED (Defendants)...

1885 Oct. 28.

AND

SAMUEL MOSS et al (Plaintiffs)....... RESPONDENTS.

ON APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF NOVA SCOTIA.

Appeal - New trial ordered by court below—Verdict against weight of evidence.

The court will not hear an appeal where the court below, in the exercise of its discretion, has ordered a new trial on the ground that the verdict is against the weight of evidence.

THIS was an appeal from a judgment of the Supreme Court of Nova Scotia, ordering a new trial on the ground that the verdict for the appellants (defendants below) was against the weight of evidence.

By the judgments in the court below, published in the printed case, it appeared that the judges, in order-

(1) Bédarride, Dol et fraude, No. 1764; Demolombe, 2 des Contrats, Nos. 198 in 204 and No. 235; 4 Proudhom, usufruct, No. 2412; Duranton, vol. 10, No. 582; Marcadé, vol. 4, p. 406; Capmas, de la révocation, p. 104; Table Gén. v. Vente, No. 13, 737 seq.; 3 Aubry et Rau, p. 92.

(2) Vol. 16, Nos. 464, et seq. and 497 et seq.

<sup>\*</sup>PRESENT—Sir W. J. Ritchie, C.J., and Fournier, Henry, Taschereau and Gwynne, JJ.

EUREKA
WOOLLEN
MILLS CO.
v.
Moss.

ing a new trial, considered that the evidence greatly preponderated in favor of the respondents (plaintiffs below) and that the jury had given a sympathetic verdict, the respondents being a foreign firm doing business at Montreal.

A. F. McIntyre, for the appellants, stated the facts of the case and the nature of the appeal.

Dunlop on behalf of the respondents was not called on

RITCHIE, C. J.:-

We must not encourage appeals to this court in such cases, and we wish it understood, that where a court below has ordered a new trial on the ground that the verdict is against the weight of evidence, this court will not interfere.

This appeal must be dismissed.

Appeal dismissed with costs.

Solicitor for appellants: D. C. Fraser.

Solicitor for respondents: W.B. McSweeny.