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on appeal from the court of appeal for quebec

 *Constitutional law — Courts — Judges — Judge of the Federal Court of Appeal appointed to the Court of Appeal of Quebec — Reference by the Quebec government concerning s. 98 of the Constitution Act, 1867 — Opinion of the Court of Appeal that (1) the “Courts of Quebec” contemplated by s. 98 are those whose judges are appointed by the Governor General, that is, the Court of Appeal of Quebec and the Superior Court of Quebec, and that (2) s. 98 requires that a person appointed to one of the Courts of Quebec have previously been a member of the Barreau du Québec or be such a member when appointed.*

**Cases Cited**

 **Distinguished:** *Reference re Supreme Court Act, ss. 5 and 6*, 2014 SCC 21, [2014] 1 S.C.R. 433.

**Statutes and Regulations Cited**

*Constitution Act, 1867*, s. 98.

 APPEAL from a judgment of the Quebec Court of Appeal (Duval Hesler C.J. and Thibault, Morissette, Hilton and Bouchard JJ.A.), 2014 QCCA 2365, [2014] AZ-51136664, [2014] Q.J. No. 14417 (QL), 2014 CarswellQue 14260 (WL Can.). Appeal dismissed.

 Jean-Yves Bernard and Marie-Catherine Bolduc, for the appellant the Attorney General of Quebec.
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 Paul Slansky, for the appellant the Constitutional Rights Centre Inc.
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 Sébastien Grammond, for the intervener the Canadian Association of Provincial Court Judges.
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 *Patrick J. Monahan* and *Padraic Ryan*, for the intervener the Attorney General of Ontario.

 English version of the judgment of the Court delivered orally by

1. Wagner J. — We are all of the view that the appeal should be dismissed, essentially for the reasons given by the Quebec Court of Appeal.
2. The appellants have not persuaded us that the Court of Appeal erred in interpreting s. 98 of the *Constitution Act, 1867*.
3. The arguments based on *Reference re Supreme Court Act, ss. 5 and 6*, 2014 SCC 21, [2014] 1 S.C.R. 433, do not stand up to analysis. As the Court of Appeal mentioned in paras. 26-36 of its opinion, this appeal concerns different constitutional and statutory provisions, and the reasoning and conclusions from that reference do not apply to it.
4. For these reasons, the appeal is dismissed.

 *Judgment accordingly.*
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