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on appeal from the court of appeal for ontario

 *Criminal law — Charge to jury — Testimony of children — 17-year-old complainant testifying about alleged events that took place when she was 12 years old — Trial judge instructing jury to consider memory of 12-year-old — Trial judge’s charge to jury as a whole conveying correct instruction — Accused’s convictions restored.*

 APPEAL from a judgment of the Ontario Court of Appeal (Sharpe, Hourigan and Benotto JJ.A.), 2016 ONCA 66, [2016] O.J. No. 385 (QL), 2016 CarswellOnt 868 (WL Can.), setting aside the accused’s convictions for sexual assault and sexual interference entered by Aitken J. and ordering a new trial. Appeal allowed.

 Michael Bernstein, for the appellant.

 Louis P. Strezos, *Jill R. Presser* and *Jeff Marshman*, for the respondent.

 Thejudgment of the Court was delivered orally by

[1] Wagner J. — The trial judge’s charge to the jury as a whole conveyed the correct instruction to the jury on the proper approach to assessing A.Y.’s evidence and credibility. On this basis, the appeal should be allowed and the convictions restored.

 *Judgment accordingly.*

 Solicitor for the appellant: Attorney General of Ontario, Toronto.

 Solicitors for the respondent: Louis P. Strezos, Toronto; Presser Barristers, Toronto.