

On conclusion of the argument of counsel for the appellant, the Court retired for consideration of the case, and on returning to the Bench, without calling on counsel for the respondent, delivered judgment dismissing the appeal, on the ground that the Court could see no reason for disagreeing with the view of the learned President of the Exchequer Court that there was no satisfactory evidence of invention. With regard to the other points in dispute, the Court pointed out that it must be distinctly understood that it expressed no opinion thereon.

1928
SEMET-
SOLVAY Co.
v.
COMMISSIONER OF
PATENTS.
—

Appeal dismissed with costs.

R. S. Smart K.C. for the appellant.

A. W. Anglin K.C. and *C. P. Plaxton K.C.* for the respondent.
